

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/747,745	12/29/2003	Juan M. Martinez	JM-1-gw 6135	
7590 10/23/2006			EXAMINER	
Michael I. Kroll			DONNELLY, JEROME W	
171 Stillwell Lane Syosset, NY 11791			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3764	
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/747,745	MARTINEZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Jerome W. Donnelly	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) S Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s)/ is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 5-18 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. JEROME DONNELLY						
de le	PRIMARY EXAMINER					
P						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/747,745

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 5-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strand in view of Goldstein.

Strand discloses a device comprising: a rail foldable support legs (16) supporting the ends of said rail, said support legs being hinged to the bottom of said rail and foot members (22).

Strand however fails to disclose the feature of his rail member having first and second rail sections one having a male end and one having a female end. Goldstein teaches male female interconnections (see Fig. 3).

Given the teaching of Goldstein the examiner notes that it is obvious and that it would have been well known to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a connection between to section of a single rail of a balance beam such as the balance beam of Strand for the purpose of providing a device which requires advanced skills in using.

As to the device including foot plates and said foot plates being foldable the examiner note that plates us the current feet of Strand are obvious and known and to provide the feet as being foldable would have been obvious in view of the foldability of other component of the device such as the foldable leg, foldable feet would make the device even more portable.

Application/Control Number: 10/747,745 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

In regard to claim 3 and 4 broadly claimed element 108 in combination with element 110 can be considered as a bracket.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Note the bracket (53, 55) and (35, 37) of Lahmann.

Note the male female connection or Keats Fig. 3, and the male female connection of Goldstein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome Donnelly at telephone number (571) 272-4975.

Jerome Donnelly

JEROME DONNELLY
PRIMARY EXAMINER